UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,956	09/30/2005	Georg Fieg	C 2658 PCT/US	9921
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 1101 MARKET STREET			EXAMINER	
			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/526,956	FIEG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Virginia Manoharan	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2005 is/are:	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	o by the Evaminer		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/04/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors, e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc. Applicants' cooperations are requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

The drawings are objected to because Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1797

- a). Claim 8 recites "An apparatus....for carrying out a chemical reaction and rectification in a batch reactor surmounted by a rectifying column, the rectifying column adapted for operation under total reflux", however the body of the claim does not recite said batch reactor and what reaction(s) are involved within the context of the claimed invention.
- b). It is unclear what constitute the "arrangement" with the recitation in claim 8 of "wherein an arrangement is provided for selectively guiding the liquid down the rectifying column section, into the underflow product vessel or away from the underflow product vessel" in terms of structure especially since the claim is directed to apparatus, not process as would be presupposed from the above limitations.
 - c). The inconsistent used of terminologies is improper as it provides for confusion and ambiguity in the claims. For examples:
 - 1). "at least one rectifying column section", in claim 8, line 4, as opposed to "the rectifying column section" e.g., in claim 8, lines 8-9. See also claim 9-11 and 14-16.
 - 2). "at least one column underflow product vessel" in claim 8, line 5, as opposed to "the underflow product vessel" e.g., in claim 8, line 9.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1797

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9,13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higley et al (4,166773) or Hamer et al (5,032,231) with or without Coker et al (4,390,398).

Higley discloses and/or shows an apparatus which is comprised in combination of a rectification zone (c), [deemed corresponding to the claimed at least one rectifying column section for material transfer]; and a bottom zone (8) [deemed corresponding to the at least one column underflow product vessel for collecting and temporarily storing a liquid which flows downward through the rectifying column section]. Likewise, Hamer discloses and/or shows basically similar structural elements as above. See the elements (1) and (22) of the Hamer's reference. Higley or Hamer further discloses a process for carrying out rectification in the above apparatus as further claimed in claim 14. The apparatus and process of Higley or Hamer differ from the claimed invention in that claim 8, for example, recites "an overhead product vessel for collecting and temporarily storing an overhead product". However, Coker teaches that the above subject matter is a known expediency in the art. See e.g., col. 6, lines 13-14. To incorporate Coker's teaching, discussed supra, to the process and apparatus of Higley

or Hamer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in view of Higley's suggestion at col. 3, lines 9-21. The claimed "wherein, an arrangement is provided for selectively guiding liquid, flowing down the rectifying column section, into the underflow product vessel or away from the underflow product vessel.." is rendered obvious at col. 3, lines 25-49 of the Higley's reference.

Claims 10-12 and 14-17 and 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a). Yuan discloses a method and apparatus for the concentration, separation and purification of chemical compounds.
- b). Olivier et al discloses an apparatus and method wherein an overhead stream, a bottom stream and at least one sidestream are withdrawn from the distillation column.
- c). Hamer et al '219 is basically similar to the above Hamer et al '231.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,956 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Virginia Manoharan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797